

Company SCT Vetriebs GmbH
Brand Mannol
Product Brake Fluid DOT 4 3002

Case No VLS010200

18/12/2023 This case was accepted by VLS

26/03/2024 The Complainant alleged that the batches of material they procured, sampled and tested failed in critical areas of performance required for safe brake operation. The results, they claimed, fall short of the specification limits stated in US FMVSS 116 DOT 4. The Complainant claimed they purchased two samples of product and conducted a Kinematic Viscosity test at -40 degrees centigrade, a SBR Elastomer test at 120 degrees centigrade and a water tolerance/bubble travel time test. The stated that values obtained for both samples were extremely high and at low operating temperatures would result in reduced brake system response time when the brakes are applied. This is a severe safety issue which may result in a vehicle accident and possible injury to any persons involved or worse.

The Swell test results they claimed were in excess of the DOT 4 limits. They alleged that this could lead to brake system failure due to the ineffective performance of any elastomers used in its construction. Ineffective braking operation could lead to accident and personal injury.

Batch MD46_PEA-230526 they claimed failed the water tolerance test. The Complainant stated that failing this test will lead to the impairment of the fluid's ability to exert an effective hydraulic pressure on the braking components. They alleged that this is a severe safety issue which may result in a vehicle accident and possible injury to any persons involved or worse.

The Complainant stated that the fluids do not comply with the declared specification of US FMVSS 116 DOT 4 and their inability to do so presents a grave risk to end users. This complaint should be investigated as a matter of urgency due to its critical nature.

VLS independently procured a sample of the product within the UK and tested it for Kinematic Viscosity @ -40 degrees centigrade, SBR Elastomer Swell Test @ 120 degrees centigrade, and Water Tolerance / Bubble travel time – seconds. The test results show that the product failed to meet the specification limits across all three tests. The test results were shared with Lubriage Ltd, trading as Mannol UK and we invited them to comment on these along with steps they will take to bring the product into compliance. As the distributor of the product in the UK, Lubriage Ltd, trading as Mannol UK is liable for the claims made on the product and the product's compliance with market standards such as DOT 4.

In response Lubriage Ltd trading as Mannol UK verbally assured VLS that they had immediately stopped selling the product on the UK marketplace although they have not formally responded in writing and VLS has not seen any evidence of the product being quarantined or withdrawn from their distributors or any attempt to contact the end users who might have purchased the product through their distributors to recall the product.

VLS has also escalated the issue as a consumer product safety issue to its Primary Authority partner Bucks & Surrey Trading Standards and will conduct a formal six month review of the case in line with its stated process.

16/09/2026 VLS procured and tested a further sample of the product on 21st July, following assurances from the marketer that action had been taken to address the non-compliance issues identified. The test results show that the product was not compliant for Kinematic Viscosity at -40 degrees centigrade returning a test result of 5,320 cSt against a DOT 4 limit of maximum 1,800 cSt. The sample tested was also not compliant for the elastomer swell test, SBR Diameter Increase, returning a test result of -0.22 mm against a DOT 4 specification limit of >0.15<1.4, mm.